## Re: Surgery Versus Radiotherapy for Clinically–localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta–analysis

## Wallis CJ<sup>1</sup>, Saskin R<sup>2</sup>, Choo R<sup>3</sup>, Herschorn S<sup>4</sup>, Kodama RT<sup>4</sup>, Satkunasivam R<sup>4</sup>, Shah PS<sup>5</sup>, Danjoux C<sup>6</sup>, Nam RK<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Urology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Canada; Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

<sup>2</sup>University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Health Policy, Division Management and Evaluation, Toronto, Canada; Sunnybrook Research Institute, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Clinic of Urology, Toronto, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic, Clinic of Radiation Oncology, Rochester, USA

<sup>4</sup>Sunnybrook Research Institute, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Clinic of Urology, Toronto, Canada; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Clinic of Urology, Toronto, Canada

<sup>5</sup>University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Health Policy, Division Management and Evaluation, Toronto, Canada; Mount Sinai Hospital, Clinic of Pediatrics, Toronto, Canada; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Toronto, Canada <sup>6</sup>University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology, Toronto, Canada

<sup>7</sup>Sunnybrook Research Institute, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Clinic of Urology, Toronto, Canada; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Clinic of Urology, Toronto, Canada; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Health Policy, Division Management and Evaluation, Toronto, Canada

Eur Urol 2016;70:21-30. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2015.11.010.

## EDITORIAL COMMENT

Radical treatment options for patients with clinically-localized prostate cancer include radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy. Since there is no level 1 evidence comparing the efficacy of these two modalities, Wallis and coworkers conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the data on overall and prostate cancer-specific survival among patients treated with radiotherapy or radical prostatectomy for clinically-localized prostate cancer. Nineteen studies were selected and up to 118.830 patients were pooled. Of note, only two studies provided "dose-escalated" external beam radiotherapy treatments to all radiotherapy patients. The risk of overall (10 studies, aHR 1.63, 95% confidence interval 1.54-1.73, p<0.00001; l<sup>2</sup>=0%) and prostate cancer-specific (15 studies, aHR 2.08, 95% confidence interval 1.76-2.47, p<0.00001; l<sup>2</sup>=48%) mortality were higher for patients treated with radiotherapy compared with those treated with surgery. Subgroup analyses by risk group, radiation regimen, time period, and follow-up length did not alter the direction of results. They concluded that radiotherapy for prostate cancer is associated with an increased risk of overall and prostate cancer-specific mortality compared with surgery based on observational data. The methodological limitations of observational studies should be considered while interpreting the results. The ProtecT trial and SPCG-15 trial comparing radical prostatectomy to radiotherapy among patients with low/intermediate and high-risk cancer respectively are awaited.

Özgür Yaycıoğlu, MD